General Comments on Enclosed Material

It is suggested that the enclosed Military Section on a country which 25X6 is in some ways comparable to as a minor military power might be helpful as a model of organization to follow in the revision of the military section.

The reader would still not be entirely satisfied on certain main points, 25X6 as for example, what, really, is the military potential, and would the do with it in any likely event. Pp. 14-15 should answer this, but there is some question left. Alternative #1 says they might fight if there were a prospect of help, but that pretty soon they would just lie down and give up. Alternative #2 says that on the other hand, approves of freedom and self-reliance. But if the Soviets should start walking in, how long could and how long would the with a navy superior to that of the USSR in the Baltic, hold them off. In other words, would it be worth the while of the USSR in the rather than somebody else, in event of war? Are the geographical approaches to such that aid could get there?

The statement that the statement are third strongest in Europe seems not to take into account the reason-not that they are absolutely, but that their neighbors are temporarily weak. Assuming, however, that they currently occupy third place, their military establishment would seem to need more thirduly treatment than it receives here.

Though something has been said about conscription and some sort of reserve, it is not at all clear just what the reserve potentialities are, what the organisation is, or how much reserve training the military can count on.

There is nothing whatever on natural resources—what part might 25X6

play in the compared or anybody else's calculations, for instance, or the lack

Approved For Release 2001/97/27 : CIA-RDP84-00022R000200030018-1

importance, there is hardly a word about it. The question is still left as to 25×6 why the could not build and store a national armament which would make them more or less impervious to the imports that would be cut off by a war. Only by inference can one discover whether or not the are capable of producing aircraft or whether they might not begin to do so if they wanted to. There is nothing about air bases as facilities for handling heavy bombers.

Seriatim Comments

- P. 2 Organisation and conscription policies all in 100 words. "Equipment is good but light" but on page 7 it says that equipment is bad.

 25X6

 see no reason bringing them in unless ther 's something 25X6

 sere to say about them e.g., why the should be so hipped on having a lot of somen in the army.
- P. 3 -- Don't doubt that navy is stronger than Russian

 but if so, think it would call for a word of explanation -- e.g.

 comparison of forces there and perhaps reason why USSR is willing
 to be the weaker party in this area. Personnel are "natural seamen"

 (if so, why train them?) with good discipline but bad morale (odd)

 who have become specialists because of increased mechanisation in a

 navy woefully lacking in modern armament but willing to modernize.

- that of the US fleet in 1934-35." If this means anything, it would be hard to detect what. A great shortcoming of the fleet is "too little experience in rough water" which seems peculiar for a fleet whose whole policy is based on sherry-hopping along the coast "The general tactical concept" etc. would not tell the reader much.
- P. 5 -- 200 words would seem a rather brief treatment for the third best sirforce in Europe.
- P. 6 -- Are these organisations considered to have any military significance from the point of view of this SR or are they merely in the church-social class?
- P. 7 "There are no organised reserve units; "yet there is a consider-
- P. 8 -- Hardly think these 25 words describe the war potential of the navy.

 25×6

 If they are immobilized all winter, anybody else

 would be too. The way I read paragraph 3, it says that if the

 25×6

 could get certain things that they can't get, they would

 have a first-class fighting machine if they had the manpower which

 they haven't.
- P. 9 -- Despite references to Section II, it would be hard to conceive how this whole question of natural resources and industrial potential (which would mean exceptional important here) could be covered in 75 words.
- Pp. 10-13 -- Could be revised to harmonize with rest of chapter: as it stands, it seems like semathing thrown in -- which it is.

1 11 -

proved For Release 2001/07/27 : CIA-RDP84-00022R000200030018-1

- P. 14 -- Army is better equipped than most European armies (though very badly equipped indeed (p. 7) and is carefully though insufficiently trained which raises the question of how one could be so careful as to train somebody insufficiently.
- Summary. Would seem insdequate as a summary, especially in view of the fact that many readers will never get beyond this section, thus making it to that extent more important than any of the others. Suggest that one of the published summaries (e.g. Albania) be used as a model.
- Sections V and VI. Suggest it would be best to leave these until the rest of the paper is well along and then expand them in light of most recent events.

(Enclosed are comments of CRE Military Group.)